

## Conditions for a Vector Subspace $E(t)$ and for a Projector $P(t)$ Not to Depend on $t$

Jean-Claude Evard

*Département de Mathématiques  
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne  
CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

Submitted by T. Ando

---

### ABSTRACT

A projector  $P(t)$  may depend on  $t$  when its range  $E(t)$  does not. Conditions for a vector subspace  $E(t)$  and for a projector  $P(t)$  not to depend on  $t$  are established. Useful models of applications are mentioned.

---

### INTRODUCTION

Conditions for a vector subspace  $E(t)$  of a vector space  $\mathbb{F}$  not to depend on  $t \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{E}$  turn out to have many useful applications. As examples, see the proofs of Lemma 3.4 of [3] and of Theorems 3.5 and 5.3 of [2].

Such a condition appears in the proof of Lemma 3.4 of [3] without being stated, in the particular case where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a finite-dimensional normed space and  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ . This condition is generalized by a quite different proof to the case where  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  are any normed spaces and  $E(t)$  is finite-dimensional in Theorem 2.2 of [2]. In Corollary 2.3 of [2] the particular case  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}^q$  is considered, and there

$$E(t) = \text{span}\{e_1(t), \dots, e_m(t)\}, \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_q) \in \Omega.$$

In this corollary, the main requirement for  $E(t)$  be constant is that the partial derivatives  $\partial e_i(t)/\partial t_j$  belong to  $E(t)$ . It may be observed there that these derivatives are not supposed to be continuous.

Theorem 3 of this paper generalizes Theorem 2.2 of [2] to the case where  $E(t)$  is of any dimension. In this theorem

$$E(t) = \pi(t)(\mathbb{E}_0),$$

and in the particular case where  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ , the essential assumption is that

$$\pi'(t)(\mathbb{E}_0) \subset E(t),$$

where  $\pi'(t)$  denotes the derivative of  $\pi(t)$ .

In Corollary 5, we show that Theorem 2.2 of [2] is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3. In Corollary 4, we consider the case where  $E(t)$  is the range of a projector  $P(t)$ , and then the main assumption is that  $E(t)$  is invariant under the derivative of  $P(t)$ .

This idea leads to Theorem 6, where we show that a projector  $P(t)$  is constant if (and obviously only if) it commutes with its derivative.

Lemma 2, found by the referee [together with Lemma 1(b)], permitted a very important simplification of the proof of the main theorem of this paper, i.e. Theorem 3. It also permitted the generalization, due to the referee, of Corollary 7 by Theorem 6.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

NOTATION.  $\mathbb{K}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}$  are normed spaces on  $\mathbb{K}$ .  $\Omega$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{E}$ .  $C(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  denotes the set of continuous maps from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F})$  denotes the subset of  $C(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F})$  of linear maps from  $\mathbb{E}$  into  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$ .  $C_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$  denotes the set of *strongly continuous* maps from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})$  [ $T$  is such a map if, for every  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ , the map  $t \rightarrow T(t)y$  belongs to  $C(\Omega, \mathbb{G})$ ].  $D(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  denotes the subset of  $C(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  consisting of maps which possess a Fréchet derivative at every point of  $\Omega$ . If  $f \in D(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  and  $t \in \Omega$ , then  $Df(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{F})$  denotes the Fréchet derivative of  $f$  at the point  $t$ .  $D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$  denotes the subset of  $C_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$  consisting of *strongly differentiable maps* [ $T \in C_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$  is such a map if, for every  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ , the map  $t \rightarrow T(t)y$  belongs to  $D(\Omega, \mathbb{G})$ ].

$$D_s \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$$

denotes

$$D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})) \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})).$$

If  $T$  is a map from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $t \in \Omega$ , and if the map  $s \rightarrow T(s)y$  possesses a Fréchet derivative at the point  $s = t$ , then this derivative is denoted by  $DT(t)y$  or by  $DT(s)y|_{s=t}$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $A \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}))$ ,  $B \in C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}))$ , and  $C(t) = B(t) \circ A(t)$ ,  $t \in \Omega$ .

(a) If  $B \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}))$ , then  $C \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}))$ .

(b) If  $C \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}))$ , then for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ , the map  $s \rightarrow B(s) \circ A(t)y$  possesses a Fréchet derivative at the point  $s = t$ .

In both cases,

$$DC(t)y = DB(s) \circ A(t)y|_{s=t} + B(t) \circ (DA(t)y)$$

for all  $t \in \Omega$  and  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s, t \in \Omega$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$\varphi(s) = [C(s) - C(t)]y - [B(s) - B(t)] \circ A(t)y - B(t) \circ (DA(t)y)(s - t),$$

$$\alpha(s) = B(s) \{ [A(s) - A(t)]y - (DA(t)y)(s - t) \},$$

$$\beta(s) = [B(s) - B(t)] \circ (DA(t)y)(s - t).$$

Then  $\varphi(s) = \alpha(s) + \beta(s)$ . By the continuity of  $B$  and the definition of the derivative,

$$\lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq t}} \frac{1}{\|s - t\|} \alpha(s) = 0.$$

On the other hand if  $s \neq t$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\|s - t\|} \|\beta(s)\| \leq \|B(s) - B(t)\| \|DA(t)y\|,$$

hence, by the continuity of  $B$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq t}} \frac{1}{\|s - t\|} \beta(s) = 0, \quad \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq t}} \frac{1}{\|s - t\|} \varphi(s) = 0.$$

(a): Assume that  $B \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}))$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(s) = & [C(s) - C(t)]y - DB(s) \circ A(t)y|_{s=t}(s-t) \\ & - B(t) \circ (DA(t)y)(s-t). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\gamma(s) = \varphi(s) + [B(s) - B(t)] \circ A(t)y - DB(s) \circ A(t)y|_{s=t}(s-t).$$

Hence, by the definition of the derivative,

$$\lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq t}} \frac{1}{\|s-t\|} \gamma(s) = 0$$

and the conclusion follows.

(b): Assume that  $C \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{H}))$ . Let

$$\delta(s) = [B(s) - B(t)] \circ A(t)y - (DC(t)y)(s-t) + B(t) \circ (DA(t)y)(s-t).$$

Then

$$\delta(s) = -\varphi(s) + [C(s) - C(t)]y - (DC(t)y)(s-t),$$

and by the definition of the derivative

$$\lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t \\ s \neq t}} \frac{1}{\|s-t\|} \delta(s) = 0.$$

Hence the conclusion follows. ■

**REMARK.** In the particular case where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{K}^n = \mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{G}$  is complete, the hypothesis that  $B$  is continuous may be replaced by its being only strongly continuous in Lemma 1. The proof is similar, with the following modification: the strong continuity of  $B$  implies by the principle of uniform boundedness that

$$\sup_{s \in K} \|B(s)\| < \infty,$$

where  $K$  is any compact neighborhood of  $t$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\psi \in C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}))$  and  $\pi \in D_s(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{F}))$  be such that at every point  $t \in \Omega$

$$\psi(t) \circ \pi(t) = \pi(t).$$

Moreover assume that at  $t_0 \in \Omega$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{G}$ ,

$$(D\pi(t_0)z_0)(x) \in \pi(t_0)(\mathbb{G})$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . Then the map  $s \rightarrow \psi(s) \circ \pi(t_0)z_0$  possesses a Fréchet derivative at the point  $s = t_0$ , and this derivative is null.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1(b), the derivative

$$D\psi(s) \circ \pi(t_0)z_0|_{s=t_0}$$

exists and is equal to

$$D\pi(t_0)z_0 - \psi(t_0) \circ (D\pi(t_0)z_0).$$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . By hypothesis, there is  $z_1 \in \mathbb{G}$  such that

$$(D\pi(t_0)z_0)(x) = \pi(t_0)z_1.$$

Therefore

$$D\psi(s) \circ \pi(t_0)z_0|_{s=t_0}(x) = \pi(t_0)z_1 - \psi(t_0) \circ \pi(t_0)z_1 = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK. In addition to the remark above, in the particular case where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{K}^n = \mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  is complete, the hypothesis that  $\psi$  is continuous may be replaced by the hypothesis that it is only strongly continuous.

## 2. CONDITION FOR A VECTOR SUBSPACE $E(t)$ OF ANY DIMENSION TO BE CONSTANT

In the following theorem, we establish a condition for a vector subspace  $E(t)$  to be locally constant. A condition for it to be globally constant should be established by using Lemma 2.1 of [2] as in Corollaries 4 and 5 below.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $t_0 \in \Omega$ , and let  $E = (E(t))_{t \in \Omega}$  be a family of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}$  such that there is*

$$\pi \in D_s \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0, \mathbb{F})),$$

*where  $\mathbb{E}_0 = E(t_0)$ , satisfying the conditions*

$$E(t) = \pi(t)(\mathbb{E}_0),$$

$$(D\pi(t)z)(x) \in E(t)$$

*for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}_0$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . Assume moreover that  $\mathbb{E}_0$  is complete and there is  $P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}_0)$  such that  $P \circ \pi(t_0)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0)$ . Then  $E$  is constant on a neighborhood of  $t_0$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from invertibility of  $P \circ \pi(t_0)$ , continuity of  $\pi$ , and completeness of  $\mathbb{E}_0$  that  $P \circ \pi(t)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0)$  at every point  $t$  of a connected neighborhood  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$  of  $t_0$  (a sufficiently small ball of center  $t_0$ , for example). Let

$$\phi(t) = \pi(t) \circ [P \circ \pi(t)]^{-1},$$

$$\psi(t) = \phi(t) \circ P, \quad t \in \Omega_0.$$

As the maps  $\pi, A \mapsto A^{-1}, (A, B) \mapsto A \circ B$  are continuous, so are  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . Observing that

$$\psi(t) \circ \pi(t) = \pi(t), \quad t \in \Omega_0,$$

and using the hypotheses, we may apply Lemma 2. We obtain that for all  $z \in \mathbb{E}_0$ ,  $t \in \Omega_0$ , the map

$$s \mapsto \psi(s) \circ \pi(t)z = \phi(s) \circ P \circ \pi(t)z$$

is differentiable at the point  $s = t$  and

$$D\phi(s) \circ P \circ \pi(t)z|_{s=t} = 0.$$

Let  $t \in \Omega_0$ . Since  $(P \circ \pi(t))(\mathbb{E}_0) = \mathbb{E}_0$ , it follows that  $\phi \in D_s(\Omega_0, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0, \mathbb{F}))$ ,

and for all  $z \in \mathbb{E}_0$ ,  $D\phi(t)z = 0$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is constant, and for all  $t \in \Omega_0$

$$E(t) = \phi(t)(\mathbb{E}_0) = \phi(t_0)(\mathbb{E}_0) = \mathbb{E}_0. \quad \blacksquare$$

### 3. APPLICATION TO THE CASE WHERE $E(t)$ IS THE RANGE OF A PROJECTOR $P(t)$

**COROLLARY 4.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is connected and  $\mathbb{F}$  is complete. Let  $E = (E(t))_{t \in \Omega}$  be a family of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}$  such that there is*

$$P \in D_s \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}))$$

*satisfying the conditions*

$$E(t) = P(t)(\mathbb{F}),$$

$$P(t) \circ P(t) = P(t),$$

$$(DP(t)y)(x) \in E(t),$$

*for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . Then  $E$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1 of [2], it is sufficient to prove that  $E$  is constant on a neighborhood of every point of  $\Omega$ . Let  $t_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_0 = E(t_0)$ ,  $P_0 = P(t_0)$ , and

$$\pi(t) = P(t)|_{\mathbb{E}_0}, \quad t \in \Omega.$$

The hypotheses at once imply that

$$\pi \in D_s \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0, \mathbb{F}))$$

and

$$(D\pi(t)z)(x) \in E(t)$$

for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{E}_0$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ . Since  $P$  is continuous, by virtue of Lemma 3.3 of [2],

$$\pi(t)(\mathbb{E}_0) = E(t)$$

at every point  $t$  of a neighborhood  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$  of  $t_0$ . As  $\mathbb{F}$  is complete and

$$\mathbb{E}_0 = \text{Ker}(P_0 - \text{id}_{\mathbb{F}})$$

is closed,  $\mathbb{E}_0$  itself is complete.

Lastly,  $(\text{id}_{\mathbb{E}_0} \circ P_0) \circ \pi(t_0) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{E}_0}$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0)$ , and  $\text{id}_{\mathbb{E}_0} \circ P_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}_0)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3,  $E$  is constant on a neighborhood of  $t_0$ . ■

#### 4. APPLICATION TO THE CASE WHERE $E(t)$ IS FINITE-DIMENSIONAL

**COROLLARY 5.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is connected. Let  $E = (E(t))_{t \in \Omega}$  be a family of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}$  of finite constant dimension  $m$ , such that there are  $e_1, \dots, e_m \in D(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  satisfying the conditions that at every point  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $(e_1(t), \dots, e_m(t))$  is a basis of  $E(t)$ , and for all  $x \in \mathbb{E}$ ,  $(De_1(t))(x), \dots, (De_m(t))(x) \in E(t)$ . Then  $E$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Corollary 4, we may replace  $\Omega$  by any open neighborhood of an arbitrary point  $t_0 \in \Omega$ . As  $\mathbb{E}_0 = E(t_0)$  is finite-dimensional, it is complete, and by [1, Chapter II, Corollaries 2 of §4 and 3 of §8], there is  $P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{E}_0)$  such that  $P|_{\mathbb{E}_0} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{E}_0}$ .

Define  $\pi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}_0, \mathbb{F})$  by

$$\pi(t) \left( \sum_{i=1}^m x_i e_i(t_0) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i e_i(t),$$

for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{K}$ . It is easy to verify that the hypotheses of Theorem 3 are satisfied and therefore  $E$  is constant on a neighborhood of  $t_0$ . ■

#### 5. CONDITION FOR A PROJECTOR $P(t)$ TO BE CONSTANT

If a projector  $P(t)$  is constant, so obviously is its range  $E(t) = P(t)(\mathbb{F})$ , but the converse is false. For example the projector

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1+t \\ 1-t & 1+t \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$



is not constant, although its range

$$E(t) = P(t)(\mathbb{R}^2) = \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is. Obviously its direction of projection

$$d(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t+1 \\ t-1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is not constant.

If  $P(t)$  commutes with its derivative, its range  $E(t)$  is invariant under this derivative and, by Corollary 4,  $E(t)$  is constant. We will see in two ways that this hypothesis implies that not only is  $E(t)$  constant, but also  $P(t)$  is.

**THEOREM 6.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is connected. Let*

$$P \in D_s \cap C(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}))$$

*be a projector function commuting with its derivative, that is to say,*

$$P^2(t) = P(t),$$

$$DP(s) \circ P(t)y|_{s=t} = P(t) \circ (DP(t)y)$$

*for all  $t \in \Omega$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $P$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in \Omega$  and  $y \in \mathbb{F}$ . By Lemma 1(a),

$$DP(t)y = DP^2(t)y = DP(s) \circ P(t)y|_{s=t} + P(t) \circ (DP(t)y).$$

Since  $P$  commutes with its derivative,

$$DP(t)y = P(t) \circ (2DP(t)y).$$

Hence for all  $x \in \mathbb{E}$

$$(DP(t)y)(x) \in P(t)(\mathbb{F}).$$

By applying Lemma 2 with  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}$  and  $\psi = \pi = P$ , we obtain

$$DP(s) \circ P(t)y|_{s=t} = 0,$$

and by using a second time the commutation of  $P$  with its derivative, we get

$$DP(t)y = 2DP(s) \circ P(t)y|_{s=t} = 0.$$

Hence the conclusion follows, because  $\Omega$  is connected. ■

REMARKS.

(a) Theorem 6 also may be proved algebraically in the following way:

$$DP = 2P(DP)$$

as above. Hence, by multiplying this equation on the left by  $P$ ,

$$P(DP) = 2P^2(DP) = 2P(DP),$$

which implies

$$P(DP) = 0$$

and

$$DP = 2P(DP) = 0.$$

(b) In the same particular case as in the remark after Lemma 2, the hypothesis that  $P$  is continuous may be suppressed in the statement of Theorem 6.

The following corollary is an obvious consequence of Theorem 6.

**COROLLARY 7.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is connected. Let  $P \in D(\Omega, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}))$  be a projector function commuting with its derivative. Then  $P$  is constant on  $\Omega$ .*

**APPLICATION.** The proof of Theorem 3.5 of [2] is a typical example where this corollary is needed.

*The author would like to express his gratitude to the referee for his thorough review of this paper and for the important simplification due to his lemma, i.e. Lemma 2.*

## REFERENCES

- 1 N. Bourbaki, *Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques*, Masson, 1981.
- 2 J.-Cl. Evard, On matrix functions which commute with their derivative, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 68:145–178 (1985).
- 3 S. Goff, Hermitian function matrices which commute with their derivative, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 36(3):33–40 (1981).

*Received 3 March 1986; revised 22 April 1986*